

***Muni-wide Historic Preservation Plan (MOAHPP) Public Review Draft Comments - DRAFT 6/21/18***

<b>Commenter</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Resolution/Response</b>
1 <b>Sharon Ferguson - MOA CP</b>	Policy 3-4. The policy is worded such that it may be overly restrictive. "Any impacts to viewsheds, scenic vistas, neighborhood grid pattern, trailhead or trail access... will be reduced or eliminated..." This may be problematic given future development projects that may impact identified historic resources.	Will delete "any."
2 <b>Sharon Ferguson - MOA CP</b>	Policy 7-5 and Policy 7-6. Development Services can directly respond to this draft policy. They may not have the resources to add this requirement to the site plan review process. Further, "Provide an informal, pre-application review procedure during which property owners can meet with HPC members..." It would be useful to state in the plan what authority the HPC has in the review of development plans.	Noted.
3 <b>Sharon Ferguson - MOA CP</b>	Policy 8-3. "provide density bonuses for developments that include appropriate treatment of historic buildings or frontages." There seems to be an inherent conflict between maintaining historic character while providing density bonuses – uncertain how that works.	Will be defined thoroughly through incentives program.
4 <b>Sharon Ferguson - MOA CP</b>	Policy 8-4. This policy bears discussion with Development Review and Current Planning.	Noted.
5 <b>Sharon Ferguson - MOA CP</b>	Policy 8-6. "Through development review, allow a reduced number of required parking spaces for development/redevelopment projects." Suggest rewording from "allow" to "consider." Allowing any development/redevelopment project the ability to reduce parking on an obligatory basis can lead to parking impacts on the development and the neighborhood.	"Consider," will be used.
6 <b>Sharon Ferguson - MOA CP</b>	Policy 8-11. "...substitute UDC for HPC design review of historical commercial buildings if property owner is applying an investment tax credit or tax abatement." The UDC would not likely review these types of buildings as they would only review for a major site plan review which these buildings may not require.	Noted.
7 <b>Margan Allyn Grover - CRM JBER</b>	I have been looking through the MOA HPP public draft, and would like to request that you ensure that no archaeological sites on JBER appear on the maps used in the public review documents and presentations. The locations of buildings and the National Cemetery are okay. I don't see a huge issue, but I noticed that there are archaeological sites on the maps. For future reference, AFI 32-7065 and other relevant laws and regulations prohibit the release of the locations of archaeological sites on military lands to the public. This includes sites from before contact and after, such as homesteads. Some historic period sites have both structural and archaeological remains.	Will confirm sites and update maps to ensure sensitive sites are not included in final plan.
8 <b>Burke Wonnell - Chugiak Community Council</b>	We really appreciated your presentation! We passed a resolution in support (particularly supporting the voluntary nature of the program and encouraging outreach to people who might be negatively impacted by a designation prior to making the designation), but I'm not sure if that's been forwarded to you yet. We also were particularly interested in preserving Aurora Borealis (I think that's also in the resolution). ....we look forward to helping you with this terrific project.	We will add to Landmarks to Save. Thank you for the support.

COMMENTS	COMMENTS	RESPONSE
9 <b>Chugiak/Eagle River Chamber Members</b>	Thank you for presenting. Will this project help save the Palm Tree? Over the long term if the Knik Arm Bridge is built, will this project have any influence on it? Overall comments of support for promoting Heritage Tourism including a suggestion for a historic building and site tour in Eagle River, expressed support for saving/recognizing historic and/or important places and buildings. This included the Iditarod Historic Trail route, Crow Pass Trail, Wells Fargo Bank Building, and Parkgate Building.	Identifying historic resources throughout the Bowl and greater Anchorage area will have impacts on future projects with Federal funding. This is appropriate and consistent with Federal laws.
10 <b>Turnagain Community Council members</b>	Thank you for presenting. Will this project help save the Palm Tree? Overall comments of support for a process that can help save/recognize historic and/or important places and buildings, and districts.	The project may help save the Palm tree. Thank you for the positive comments.
11 <b>Marc Lamoreaux - Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Main concern is the ANSCA language on Page 40. The first sentence under ANSCA needs to go away. ANSCA did not establish Alaska Native governance structure. Could try to construct something to replace it.	Any input on ANSCA section is appreciated.
12 <b>Aaron Leggett - Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Comments on Pages 5 - S/B Nulchina, Kalifornsky 42 - Orthodox Priests are Christian, 44 - Nichit, not Nichil, this photo isn't from Ship Creek it is from around Copper Center and is Ahtna, 74 - Elnena not Elnana.	Noted and will change.
13 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page 2 - Old Saint Nicolas Church – photo used on your second page primarily shows the NEW church, which was built by Mike Alex and his sons Daniel and "Bobby" Paul Alex. The old church is barely visible. Maria provided a recent photograph of the old church.	Noted and will change the photo.
14 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page ii - RE: Occupation and Settlement Story, RE: "Dena'ina battle grounds, hunting and fishing places, and encampments." Need to add (actual) "homes" "trapping" "plant and berry gathering" "trade system." Elders have said that Dena'ina had to leave their homes because the land was sold out from under them at auction and because they started being taxed when they were just learning about the cash economy and did not have jobs. An international trade system was part of Dena'ina culture way before the railroad. Add "military" and the critical strategic needs as a result of the world wars. "Dena'ina peoples" needs to be just "Dena'ina". Dena'ina means "The People" or "The Original People"; you don't want to say, "The People peoples". I never saw White peoples (Irish, German, Norwegian, British, etc.) of Anchorage used. Noun or plural noun: peoples the men, women, and children of a particular nation, community, or ethnic group, "the native peoples of Canada." Synonyms: race, (ethnic) group, tribe, clan, "the peoples of Africa." Add "homesteaders." COMMENT: It is refreshing that Anchorage documents and history now includes Dena'ina.	Noted and will add this information.
15 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page ii - RE: Social Benefits - Add "instill pride in our heritage and history, education of the public (or publics), and aiding better understanding amongst our various populations."	Noted and will edit and include.
16 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	QUESTION: Does the National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106 as it relates to tribes apply to this endeavor? This includes the ability to have tribal sites protected under confidentiality provisions, this helps to avoid destruction as has been the experience. If not, how can the Municipality of Anchorage protect our sites from looting and destruction? Following are excerpts from www.nps.gov: What is a Federal Undertaking, What does Section 106 require, What are historic properties, What role do THPOs, Tribes, and NHOs play in the Section 106 process, What if a historic property is not listed in or has been previously determined eligible for the National Register of Historic Places, What regulations govern the Section 106 process. Maria's question here also included this link: <a href="http://www.achp.gov/usersguide.html">http://www.achp.gov/usersguide.html</a>	Yes, Section 106 is one of many reasons for this plan. The section on Municipal-owned properties will help build the case and program for managing sites from looting and destruction. Properties listed and/or eligible are protected by Section 106 reviews and mitigation.

COMMENTER	COMMENTS	RESPONSE
17 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page ii - COMMENT: The language of, "artifacts, records, and remains (surface or subsurface) that are related to and located within historic properties and any properties of traditional religious and cultural importance to Tribes or NOS," should be added to the Municipal Preservation Plan.	Noted and will edit and include.
18 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page ii - <i>MOAHPP and Anchorage 2020</i> - This reference to the "Anchorage Bowl" is confusing and conflicts with other statements in the plan as well as the map on page 11. Most municipal maps refer to the Bowl as the main city area.	Will revise this paragraph to give more clarity between the Bowl area planning and coverage of MOAHPP, which is the entire Anchorage area from Eagle River to Portage.
19 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	RE: MOA – Owned Landmarks - "MOAHPP includes goals and action items to provide guidance on how to manage these buildings." QUESTION: Will it and shouldn't it also have guidance for managing sites, districts, areas, and objects that are not buildings, including an area of Dena'ina fish cache or camps?	Yes, more information will be added with respect to sites, districts, and objects.
20 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page -iv - There were scheduling conflicts when a fast meeting was called at Cook Inlet Tribal Council. CITC, while trying to be accommodating, is a non-profit under the state of Alaska, not the head of tribes.	Thank you for this comment. We will attempt to give longer notice, and to more NVE representatives for future consultations.
21 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page -2 -Should include providing information to adjacent property owners so that they do not damage sites. For example, a trail and park-game were put over a Dena'ina site near Peters Creek. And, in another instance neighbors tramped through Eklutna private property that had archeological evidence. Here are photos of Dorothy Cook and Marc Lamoreaux at one of the Peter Creek sites.	Noted and will add to plan.
22 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page -3 - COMMENT: The language of, "artifacts, records, and remains (surface or subsurface) that are related to and located within historic properties and any properties of traditional religious and cultural importance to Tribes or NOS," should be added to "What are the local landmark Types for Evaluation."	Noted and will add to plan.
23 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page -4 - Somewhere, it should be noted that while the Village named Eklutna is within the Municipality of Anchorage, protected under a zoning code, Eklutna traditional territory covered a much broader area, which included the Matanuska-Susitna Valley as well as further up and down the highway.	Noted and will add to plan.
24 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Please also see Page 10 comments and make sure the landmark types include Dena'ina items, which typically do not have actual addresses or buildings or structures. Include influence or effect on the heritage and culture of peoples of Anchorage, such as the indigenous population.	Noted and will add to plan.
25 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page -5 - COMMENT: Per Aaron Leggett, Nelchina should be Nulchina, Kalifornski should be Kalifornsky.	Noted and will be edited in plan.

COMMENTER	COMMENTS	RESPONSE
26 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	COMMENT: Thank you for mentioning Tak'at. Native Village of Eklutna also had Tak'at federally recognized by the Department of Transportation as a historic cultural site, which they had authority to do in spite of the SHOP negative determination, which is common practice of the SHPO; and is working through the Denali Commission to honor the site with a statue and signage to be placed near Ship Creek, a project which began in May 2004. The difficulties at the Port of Anchorage has stalled this project for several years. NVE also has historical pictures and elder quotes related to Fire Island and Tak'at and other places within the Anchorage area. Clarus Environmental Services, LLC; US Department of Transportation, Maritime Administration (MARAD), Integrated Concepts and Research Corporation (ICRC), and Steven A. Braund and Associates were very helpful to Native Village of Eklutna with this process.	Tak'at is an important place and the efforts noted in Maria's comments are supported by this plan.
27 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	"Traditional Cultural Properties" should also be included in the definitions, which is slightly different that just "Cultural Resources". This also warrants additional study regarding "religious ceremonies" as Dena'ina have always been spiritual people in everything they do. A lot of folks don't understand that and narrowly assume that a Shaman or Community event must occur or some type of activity that is like lower-48 tribes or church services, which is not always the case. The lack of understanding, "illiteracy" if you will, contributes to far fewer Dena'ina places being recognized. I put together a drawing to try to convey the viewpoint. <i>One-Self, all things connected, every day, in every single thing.</i>	Comments 27 and 28 are connected. We will use drawing Maria provided.
28 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	"Dena'ina do not traditionally dissect the parts of their whole. Spiritual, Mental, Physical, Emotional, and Social connections are one and inseparable parts of our beings, our experience, and our environment."	We will use drawing Maria provided.
29 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Another factor affecting decisions on Dena'ina sites is because other activities occurred directly on top of our important places. Just because someone trespassed and built on top of our property does not mean the place has lost its significance to us. One prime example is the homesteads, whereby they are glorified as significant historical properties while having a false assumption that our place was destroyed. Yet, our place is still there. This perspective amplifies the fact that one population is treated as more significant and important than another.	The MOAHPP attempts to clarify this idea for the public by including Dena'ina place names as the foundation, then settlement patterns after. Additional information may be included to add to clarity on this point in the MOAHPP and the community areas histories and character summaries.
30 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page 10 - Particularly appreciate this page, it makes more clear what is the Anchorage Bowl, and that part of the plan includes the other parts of Anchorage from Eklutna to Girdwood. <i>(Staff note: Maria is referring to the 3 Planning Areas maps on pages 10 and 11).</i>	Thank you!
31 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page 12 - "Dena'ina" means " <b>The People.</b> " <u>Please do not say</u> "The <b>The People</b> people."	We will search and change all references to be correct.
32 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Preserving stories may be more effective than preserving a thing or structure. - Lee Stephan, President, Native Village of Eklutna. While Lee Stephan's statement is relative to considering the lack of significance placed on Dena'ina sites, it is important to note that <b>Aaron Leggett</b> became the elected Tribal Council <b>President</b> of the tribe on January 27, 2018. This election was later certified, and our website will be updated.	We will note the "former" NVE president made this comment at the consultation.

COMMENTER	COMMENTS	RESPONSE
33 Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna	Pages 26-30 - Thank you, it is hard to keep all these laws in one's head. It seems that a couple other federal laws or even executive orders could be added. For instance, there's something about human remains and funerary objects, just to give the public clarity and that there are critical steps needed to comply with those laws, in a spirit like your section on what the municipal ordinance does not do. MAJOR – You left out the Section 106 part about consultation with tribes when it is a federal undertaking, which might be due to the funding, a permit, or an activity that qualifies as such.	Noted and will edit this section with a little more detail. We may also include an example or two.
34 Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna	Page 39 - Did somebody forget to remove the phrase – (I didn't see it on the list) ?	Will need to confirm this comment.
35 Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna	Page 40 - The Quoted Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act section contains error in thought and portrayal, which commonly occurs without consultation with tribal persons or ANCSA expert attorneys. <b>Please notice the errors corrected with bold and colored fonts below:</b> The Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (ANCSA) is the federal legislation established Alaska Native corporate governance structure. The provisions of the law are summarized by the University of Alaska's Justice Center as follows: <i>(Please note that Tribal governments &amp; Traditional governments continued.)</i>	Appreciate edits provided. Thank you!
36	Passed in 1971, ANCSA extinguished <b>most</b> Native land claims to almost all of Alaska in exchange for about one-ninth of the state's land plus \$962.5 million <b>paid to the corporations</b> in compensation <b>for startup costs, attorney fees, and distributions to newly made shareholders.</b> Native land title was conveyed to 12 regional and 200 local village corporations chartered under Alaska state law. <i>(Many Alaska Natives were left out of the settlement, it was only for organized corporations formed under the act who received funds based on number of enrollees, the money was NOT compensation for 306 million acres of land (at \$3.14/acre) that were claimed but were not granted, ANCSA amendments continue to fix Left-Outs and other claims.)</i>	Appreciate edits provided. Thank you!
37	ANCSA changed <b>complicated</b> the relationship between Natives and the land from <b>to include</b> one of <b>shared</b> co-ownership of <del>shared</del> lands to one of <b>and</b> corporate shareholding; i.e., land ownership was based on a corporate model, and governmental entities, including traditional or IRA [Indian Reorganization Act] "tribal" governments, were bypassed according to UAA ISER web publication.	Appreciate edits provided. Thank you!
38	The corporation system established by ANCSA differs from the reservation systems used in the Lower 48. Under ANCSA, <b>the majority of</b> Alaska Natives became shareholders in the regional and/or village for-profit corporations. Cook Inlet Region, Inc. (CIRI) is the regional Native Corporation for the Cook Inlet. CIRI also has four affiliated nonprofit organizations. <b>(Some Regional Shareholders are not shareholders in a village corporation, they are called at-large Shareholders.)</b>	Appreciate edits provided. Thank you!
39	The CIRI Foundation provides education funding and services; Cook Inlet Housing Authority provides affordable housing and economic development opportunities; Cook Inlet Tribal Council provides social, educational, and employment services; and the Southcentral Foundation provides health care and related services.	Appreciate edits provided. Thank you!
40	The Alaska Native Heritage Center, Koahnic Broadcast Corporation, and the Alaska Native Justice Center were also founded by CIRI. Visit the CIRI website for more information about CIRI and its nonprofit foundations' involvement in <a href="#">cultural preservation activities</a> in Anchorage and beyond.	Appreciate edits provided. Thank you!

**COMMENTER**

**COMMENTS**

**RESPONSE**

41	<p>These corporations are not considered government bodies to be consulted with in a government-to- government basis. However, due to the investments in historic properties held by corporation subsidiaries, such as Cook Inlet Housing Authority, CIRI and Eklutna Inc., representatives have always been included in the MOA’s historic preservation planning and project efforts. <i>(Note that many of the non-profits started by CIRI serve all Alaska Natives, and even other populations, not just shareholders, and not just in the Cook Inlet Region.)</i></p>	<p>Appreciate edits provided. Thank you!</p>
42 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	<p>Page 40 - ANCSA – Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act is INCORRECTLY stated as “Alaska Native Settlement Claims Act.</p>	<p>Appreciate edits provided. Thank you!</p>
43 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	<p>Page 42 - Regarding Pre-Dena’ina assumptions. One must take into consideration the fact that the indigenous population participated in the world wide traditional trade system long before Euro-Asian-American populations arrived in Alaska. While this does not add to the clarity, it does give some explanation on how tools and items from other cultures would be in the area. Dena’ina do recognize that they re-took possession after the ice-age even though the scholars are still trying to figure things out.</p>	<p>May need to provide more context in the Pre-Dena’ina occupation section.</p>
44 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	<p>Page 42 - Please do not use “The Upper Cook Inlet Region” when that is not what you mean. Upper Cook Inlet goes much farther than, “the Knik River in the north to the Turnagain Arm in the south.”</p>	<p>Will need to confirm between Aaron and Maria what should be articulated here. This dialogue was provided by Aaron.</p>
45 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	<p>Page 42 - Please do not say “the Dena’ina” meaning “the The People” (HERE &amp; ELSEWHERE)</p>	<p>Agree.</p>
46 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	<p>Page 42 - Aaron Leggett commented on the phrase, “Christian and Orthodox Priests.” Orthodox Priests are Christians. (that’s a good one)</p>	<p>Agree.</p>
47 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	<p>Page 43 - Early Dena’ina History - Close enough.</p>	<p>Will need to confirm between Aaron and Maria what should be articulated here. This dialogue was provided by Aaron.</p>
48 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	<p>Page 43 - Right side, 3rd paragraph, change “t0” to “to”</p>	<p>Agree.</p>
49 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	<p>Page 43 - Please change “The Dena’ina created and adhered to a FORM of government with laws,” to “Dena’ina created and adhered to THEIR OWN governments with laws.” And, add somewhere, based on Dena’ina values and beliefs.</p>	<p>Agree.</p>

COMMENTER	COMMENTS	RESPONSE
50 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page 43 - Please add "object" – "every plant, animal, and object within their ecosystem"	Agree.
51 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page 44 - Aaron Leggett notes - This photo isn't from Ship creek it is from around Copper Center and they aren't Dena'ina they are Ahtna	Agree.
52 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page 44 - Many traditional tribal people and tribes throughout Alaska continue to assert that Russia only had their trading posts, forts, and churches to sell. They did not use or occupy all of Alaska, as the indigenous population did, and the indigenous population did not have a "Claiming Continents Agreement" due to some superiority complex with Spain, France, Great Britain, or any other Nation.	Will edit -from CIHS website.
53 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page 44 - Is the Gold Rush section supposed to say 1980s?	Yes.
54 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page 44 - Aaron Leggett notes - Nichit not Nichil (used the crossed L symbol)	Noted and will change.
55 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page 45 - Should include "Tent frames" as they were used seasonally, just put the tent back up (onto the frames) next spring. And also "Smoke Houses and Steam Bath structures used by Dena'ina."	Noted and will change.
56 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page 45 - Regarding "Travel was by boat, or on foot along trails and crude dirt roads..." There were motor vehicles also. Here is an excerpt from NVE's April 2009 PowerPoint that I put together for a UAA presentation.	Noted and will change.
57 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page 45 - Thank you for mentioning the displacement of Dena'ina by the homestead programs.	Noted and will change.
58 <b>Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna</b>	Page 46 - While it is important to mention when the Eklutna Bridge was built, which was to expand transportation options from just Train, Boat, and Foot or Sled; It seems there is a HUGE GAP by leaving out the Colonization Project that came about because of the Great Depression. The Great Depression had also put the U.S. population into dire straits, which greatly influenced the relocation of many Americans to Alaska and the Matanuska Valley. There would have been no need for the Eklutna bridge if these related events had not occurred. You can make the story applicable to Anchorage, because of the Railroad. Here is a picture of colonization, Railroad brought people through Anchorage and on to the Matanuska Valley to develop agricultural resources. Today, a large part of Anchorage's employees come from "the valley."	Agree and will add information about the Colony project.

**COMMENTER****COMMENTS****RESPONSE**

- 59 **Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna** Page 47 - Somewhere, it seems important to mention that the war took so many people off to other Countries, including many local Dena'ina and other Alaska Natives. Because of this, tribal people were much more able to acquire civilian jobs and join the cash economy. Additionally, at one time the Alaska Railroad even honored Eklutna with a certificate naming several villagers who had worked for the railroad. However, they left many people's names off, because of the false assumption that you had to live in the village to be an Eklutna Person. One person I intimately know was not listed and should have been was my brother Myron Stineburg. He was born Dwight "D-Izen" Ezi. D'Izen was an orally inserted addition by Elders to make people smile, because he was named after the U.S. President. He had worked for the railroad as well as on base as a civilian employee. RIP 1999. Both the railroad and the military were very beneficial to our people and remain so even today. There were quite a number of other Eklutna and Knik persons who have worked for the military, in the military, and/or for the railroad.
- 60 **Maria L. Coleman - V.P./Cultural Manager Native Village of Eklutna** Page 47 - AN IMPORTANT CONCERN – DENA'INA HISTORICAL SITES - While there a lot of lists of buildings, that have street addresses, it does not look like there is a clear thought into recognizing Dena'ina sites and areas. One only need to pick up a book of Shem Pete's Alaska to see a rich heritage worth recognition.
- 61 **Anna Brawley - Turnagain Community Council member by email** On page 70, the last sentence of the first paragraph: The exception to this rule is a landmark property, located in a landmark district, and the landmark district has a landmark overlay. This needs to be clarified. It's hard to tell if it's one property with all three attributes, or any property with any of the three designations. And what is the result of the exception? If the exception is clarified later in the draft, it should be cited here.
- 62 **Anna Brawley - Turnagain Community Council member by email** Also on page 70: *A local landmark designation places no restrictions on what a private owner may do with their property up to and including demolition, unless the property is involved in a project that receives municipal assistance, usually funding or licensing/permitting.*

Noted and will amend.

Noted and will amend.

What would be controlling here is the Landmark Overlay. The Landmark Overlay would be established by the property owners in the Landmark District. The Landmark Overlay could impose guidelines on the redevelopment of a "contributing landmark property within the Landmark District." Property owners within the Landmark District would have to agree to . A new owner would be informed that there are restrictions.

What is controlling here is the property receives MOA assistance for preservation. Then the MOA has a say in what the property owner does. In the case of a demolition, the MOA would be paid back the public funding, or value of assistance.

**COMMENTER****COMMENTS****RESPONSE**

- 63 **Anna Brawley - Turnagain Community Council member by email** So it sounds like there are no restrictions on the property based on the designation unless MOA funding is involved, but then on page 79 it says: To safeguard against demolition of historic properties by accident or without proper notice the MOA would ensure all demolition applications for historic properties are subject to review. A demolition permit would be required for complete demolition of any historic building or structure and may be required if most of a building is to be demolished. And then goes on to outline public involvement in demolition plans. This seems to contradict the previous statement about allowing owners to demolish property without restriction. There is nothing on page 79 saying only properties receiving MOA funding would be subject to review.
- 64 **Susan Jensen, President AWCC** In reviewing the draft document I noticed that there is a discrepancy on page 58. The draft document refers to the Pioneer Schoolhouse. However, it is listed in the National Register of Historic Places as the Pioneer School House. Please see the link for reference.
- 65 **Frank Pugh, President, Rabbit Creek Community Council, property owner** While many of us do appreciate the historic background of Alaska and many other places, many landowners and property owners have concerns that the historic aspect of some areas as it concerns trails is often times used as an excuse for the public to overrun private property. We certainly hope that private property rights will be respected and that anything which could impact private property owners would rate proper contact of potentially impacted property owners. I own property in Potter Valley and have been the victim of such behavior by individuals, however, I would expect that government institutions would be respectful of private property rights and the individual rights of property owners during this effort of preserving the history of our area. Information was included in an attached letter dated May 10, 2018 and signed by Frank Pugh.
- 66 **Susan Lutz, owner Historic Oscar Gill House** Greetings. Great job. I worked with Allan Tesche for a couple years toward this goal of a plan. Our home, The Oscar Gill House on the Delaney Park Strip, has been on the National Historic Register for over 20 years. Again, great work on a project long overdue.
- 67 **Jim Rogers, Rabbit Creek Community Council, property owner.** Reference to Rabbit Creek Community Council history and character summary draft - Thank you for producing this, it is good to document history. I did notice an error in it related to my family though. In section 11, Daniel Comte (current spelling incorrect) Rogers and Helen J Rogers homesteaded in 1952 (not 1960).
- 68 **Nicole Jones-Vogel, Tiffany Briggs, MOA Real Estate Department** Page iii - first bullet - All the agreements that we have for MOA-managed sites, are found to be in the best interest of the MOA. The lease provisions are unique to each site and circumstance and adhere to AMC 25. As the department delegated to negotiate lease agreements we request the following language be modified to: **"Supporting MOA-managed Historic Landmarks through permitting, leasing, and maintenance with an emphasis on sustainability is a goal of this plan. Historic Landmarks face challenges with funding, stewardship, and maintaining historic integrity. Through the Agency Review process, the HPC will review and comment on all new lease agreements for historic properties. Where feasible and appropriate the HPC will seek funding in coordination with the Real Estate Department to proactively facilitate the funding and implementation of maintenance of our historic properties."**
- Page 79 describes what could be included in a Demolition Delay Ordinance that could be adopted to help save properties identified as historic. There is much work to do to adopt an ordinance and to determine what properties are considered historic. That's a long-term action item for the HPC.
- Agree and may edit. The National Register nomination may not accurately reflect the schoolhouse name.
- Hillside District Plan goals and policies will be included verbatim in place of the generalized language that was included in the draft community council history and character summary, to ensure consistency between plans.
- Thank you!
- Agree and will edit.
- Agree and will edit.

COMMENTS	COMMENTS	RESPONSE
69 Nicole Jones-Vogel, Tiffany Briggs, MOA Real Estate Department	Page iii- second bullet - last sentence recommend change to the following: <b>“It is a goal of this plan is to develop a program for reviewing MOA-owned properties for landmark eligibility in coordination with the MOA Department that manages the asset.”</b>	Agree and will edit.
70 Nicole Jones-Vogel, Tiffany Briggs, MOA Real Estate Department	Page vi - In-Depth Survey Studies - <b>“Create a Priority List of Landmark Surveys to present to the Assembly for approval and funding during the annual Anchorage budget discussion. Propose one survey to be funded and completed each year.”</b>	Agree and will edit.
71 Nicole Jones-Vogel, Tiffany Briggs, MOA Real Estate Department	Page vi - Iditarod National Historic Trail MOA – what areas are eligible segments of the INHT? If the eligible segments of the INHT are known provide a map of their locations.	The INHT is mapped. Will provide a separate map with that information.
72 Nicole Jones-Vogel, Tiffany Briggs, MOA Real Estate Department	Pages 34 + 35 – HLB - While the HLB does acquire, identify, manage and transfer municipal lands this is also done by Real Estate Services (RES). RES manages all of the MOA-owned and MOA-leased historic properties – at this time there are no historic assets that are actively managed in the HLB inventory (other than portions of the INHT). The language in the paragraph should be amended to possibly call out all of T25 as it relates to all public lands.	Agree and will edit.
73 Nicole Jones-Vogel, Tiffany Briggs, MOA Real Estate Department	Page 36 - Girdwood Area Plan (AO 94-238(S), amended Girdwood South Townsite Area Master Plan, 2014) - The Girdwood South Townsite Master Plan is not an element of the Girdwood Area Plan. Ensure that the items listed are policies and implementation items not guiding principles. It might be worth mentioning the connectivity of the INHT through this Master Plan area (See PZC Resolution #2014-049).	Agree and will edit.
74 Nicole Jones-Vogel, Tiffany Briggs, MOA Real Estate Department	Page 57 – National Register of Historic Places in Girdwood - Should more of the INHT alignment be shown on this map?	Agree and will edit.
75 Nicole Jones-Vogel, Tiffany Briggs, MOA Real Estate Department	Page 58 – Oscar Anderson House Museum address is 420 M Street not 520 M Street	Agree and will edit.
76 Nicole Jones-Vogel, Tiffany Briggs, MOA Real Estate Department	Pages 101-102 - Goal No. 12 – suggest doing policies in numbers and action items in letters (i.e. Policy 12-1: Action Items 12-1a, 12-1b, 12-1c, etc.)	Agree and will edit.

COMMENTS	COMMENTS	RESPONSE
77 <b>Nicole Jones-Vogel, Tiffany Briggs, MOA Real Estate Department</b>	Pages 101-102 - 12.3 – It is not be in the best interest of the MOA to have lessees be responsible for adherence to a design guideline. It is recommended to reference such a document and that any modification is required to be approved in writing by the MOA.	Agree and will edit.
78 <b>Nicole Jones-Vogel, Tiffany Briggs, MOA Real Estate Department</b>	Pages 101-102 - 12.5 –As discussed above, each lease arrangement that has been entered into between the MOA and tenants of historic properties was found to be in the MOA’s best interest and the deciding factor was not their ability to provide income. An MOU will need to be entered between the MOA Planning Department/HPC and the Real Estate Department regarding any rent revenue sharing to Fund 740. Tenant participation in building upkeep is not always in the best interest of the MOA. Depending on the wording in the lease MOA Maintenance & Operations may be responsible for repairs, but in some cases under a certain dollar amount the repair is at the lessees’ expense.	Agree and will edit.
79 <b>Nicole Jones-Vogel, Tiffany Briggs, MOA Real Estate Department</b>	Pages 101- 102 - 12-6 – Comments from the HPC are encouraged during the Agency Review process. The HPO is included on all RED Agency reviews and should forward any applicable Agency Reviews to the HPC for comment.	Agree and will edit.
80 <b>Nicole Jones-Vogel, Tiffany Briggs, MOA Real Estate Department</b>	General Comments: All Policies + Action items should be implemented in coordination with the MOA Department that is tasked with management of the asset. Abbreviations might be best closer to the front of the document.	Agree and will edit.
81 <b>Nicole Jones-Vogel, Tiffany Briggs, MOA Real Estate Department</b>	Also, it would be useful to list who is responsible for some of the actions that require funding. Would these efforts be coming from the 740 Fund with funds appropriated from the Assembly?	Agree and will edit.
82 <b>Nicole Jones-Vogel, Tiffany Briggs, MOA Real Estate Department</b>	If color versions of the maps on 55 – 57 are available, we request digital copies.	Final maps will be provided and available to departments and the public online.
83 <b>Nicole Jones-Vogel, Tiffany Briggs, MOA Real Estate Department</b>	Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback. We are available to discuss any of these comments further.	Thank you!
84 <b>David Michael, Rabbit Creek Community Council resident</b>	Provided several comments on the Rabbit Creek Community Council history and character summary.	Thank you!

**COMMENTER****COMMENTS****RESPONSE**

85 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	General Proofing needs to be done. References - Many are incomplete or unclear; some documents are noted by not actually references. Use a common citation practice, include full references in reference section.	Agree and will edit.
86 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Page i - Make photos larger and include captions. Third paragraph 'our collective history' revise w/information about pre-Dena'ina use of the area (you have that later in the document). I suggest you remove the Outside Magazine reference. Instead of saying 'fixed' say rehabilitated.	Edits will be made.
87 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Page iii - Goals for MOA-owned Landmarks - this section is very 'building' heavy; what about archeological sites? The description of the Vicinity Map is confusing.	Agree and will edit.
88 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Page 2 - Social Benefits and Outcomes - Suggest adding, "Make our community an interesting place to live. Having historic buildings and sites known, and having interpretive information, contributes to a sense of place and well-being."	Agree and will edit.
89 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Page 3 - Economic Benefits and Outcomes - Green house gases diminished could also be a social benefit.	Agree and will edit.
90 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Page 7 - ..."however, a conscious decision to provide an annual allocation, or income funding is needed to keep the program responsive and successful." I appreciate that this was included.	Thank you!
91 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Pages 10-11 - Planning Area Maps are difficult to read, make them larger.	These are very rough draft maps. Nicer, more readable maps will be included.
92 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Page 13 - Facebook Live Stream - the numbers noted don't match on Page iv.	Refers to the number of people reached by the FB live stream, not the number of workshop attendees.
93 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Page 14 - Should be Alaska Anthropological Association annual meeting.	Agree and will edit.
94 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Throughout the document - suggest using the term Tribe, instead of Village in many instances.	Many instances are referring to Native Village of Eklutna, who are partners in planning and other Muni projects.
95 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Suggest adding Tribe to glossary.	How would Tribe then be defined?
96 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Suggest modifying the definition of village in the glossary. It isn't consistently used the way it is currently defined.	Need to search and find all references to village, villages to confirm use is correct, and expand definitions in glossary.
97 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Page 40 - "Alaska Native Peoples" typically doesn't capitalize 'Peoples". I'm guessing that you are capitalizing this in the spirit of "Indigenous People". There is debate about whether or not "People" or "Peoples" should be used. Many prefer the singular as opposed to the plural.	We were advised by NVE to use Alaska Native Peoples.

COMMENTS	COMMENTS	RESPONSE
98 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Page 40 - third paragraph. Add Ancestors.....for hunting, fishing, "gathering, camping, and travel."	Agree and will edit.
99 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Page 40 - ANSCA suggested language.	ANSCA will be revised by NVE staff.
100 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Pages 42-44 - First paragraph talks about occupation and settlement began with Dena'ina and below talks about pre-Dena'ina. Other comments followed through page 44 regarding history provided by NVE.	First paragraph to be revised. The rest of the Dena'ina story was provided by NVE and will not be changed.
101 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Page 44 - Exploring Alaska - 1700s, paragraph 2, recommend changing "celebrated" to commemorated.	Commemorate is used later in the sentence, "acknowledged" may be used instead.
102 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Page 45 - Homesteading in Alaska - Displacement of the Dena'ina - add "was" initiated with railroad construction....	Interrupts flow and meaning of sentence. Will add comma after Dena'ina.
103 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Page 46 - Citations for R.H Stock Memoir and Naske and Slotkick need to be revised.	Agree.
104 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Page 49 - "like a cancer."	Quoted from the Mountain View Historic Buildings Survey Study.
105 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Page 62 - Third paragraph - mixed "use."	Agree.
106 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Page 62 - Second bullet - add "landmark sites" to sentence.	Agree.
107 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Page 74 - Paragraph 3 right column - "Etnena" bar the l.	Agree.
108 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Page 74 - Paragraph 5 - revise including interviews with elders from the Native Village of Eklutna."	Agree.
109 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	Page 89 - Vision #1 - Action Item 1.8 and 1.9....seems that they could be combined. An Alaska Native Review Panel should be consulted for all the items in 1.8 and 1.9. Suggest expanding the wording to include AK Native Review Panel and "culture bearers," to provide flexibility for consulting outside of a formal review panel.	Will consider with HPC input.
110 Julie Raymond-Yakoubian, Girdwood	I would like to thank everyone that has been involved in preparing this summary (Girdwood).	Thank you! Several Girdwood documents were quoted.

COMMENTS	COMMENTS	RESPONSE
111 <b>Isobel Roy, MUNI PM&amp;E Dept.</b>	Page 10 and 11 - Maps #2-4: the black and white makes for fuzzy delineation between the various legend items.	Agree and will edit.
112 <b>Isobel Roy, MUNI PM&amp;E Dept.</b>	Page 43 - 2nd column, third paragraph, 2nd sentence - Correct "t0" in middle of sentence.	Agree and will edit.
113 <b>Isobel Roy, MUNI PM&amp;E Dept.</b>	Page 44 - 2nd column, 5th paragraph, 2nd sentence - Correct ...the Turnagain Arm during the 1980s to 1880s.	Agree and will edit.
114 <b>Isobel Roy, MUNI PM&amp;E Dept.</b>	Page 46 - 1st column, 2nd paragraph, 1st sentence - Meat is not grown. How about "...more local use of food grown, and livestock raised, or use of food grown and raised."	Agree and will edit.
115 <b>Isobel Roy, MUNI PM&amp;E Dept.</b>	Page 50 - est column, 2nd paragraph, 1st sentence under Roadway and Street Network - Is the semicolon warranted? Seems stronger without. Refreshing acknowledgement of the many ways we have gotten around the city since its inception. We still do!	Agree and will edit.
116 <b>Isobel Roy, MUNI PM&amp;E Dept.</b>	Page 62 - Comment - Appreciate the plan calling out the health benefits that come with preservation. Retaining historic neighborhood character often results in keeping mature trees, which in turn yield better air and water quality, to name a couple of benefits.	Agree and will edit.
117 <b>Isobel Roy, MUNI PM&amp;E Dept.</b>	Page 66/67 - Some cities have Landmark Trees. In our case, would such a tree fall under the umbrella of a Landmark object?	Agree and will edit.
118 <b>Isobel Roy, MUNI PM&amp;E Dept.</b>	Thanks for the opportunity to review. It was a pleasure.	Thank you!
119 <b>Teri Lindseth, TSAIA</b>	Consulted with Teri and her staff on May 16, 2018. TSAIA may have comments forthcoming. They questioned whether the Lake Hood Seaplane Base Historic District should be nominated to the National Register of Historic Places, and asked about the Section 106 comment process.	A/O 6/19/18 no formal comments were received by TSAIA Planning.
120 <b>Sand Lake Community Council members</b>	Presented at the Sand Lake Community Council meeting on 5/7/18. They asked about the Local Landmark process, and if there were places within Sand Lake that were historic. Historic places include SL Elementary School, 1930s housing across the road from the school, and Dena'ina fish camps.	Anticipate a Letter of Support from the Sand Lake Community Council.
121 <b>Eklutna Valley Community Council</b>	Presented at the Eklutna Valley Community Council meeting on 4/5/18. Questions about Local Landmark Register and what would qualify. Rick Sinnot followed up with email offering to assist with history and character summary document.	Anticipate a Letter of Support from the Community Council.
122 <b>GBOS and GBOS Land Use Committee</b>	Presented to GBOS Land Use Committee and GBOS on 4/9 and 4/16 respectively. Questions about Local Landmark Register, Girdwood history and character summary, Turnagain Arm historic properties. GBOS suggested that MOAHPP include the mines. Commented on inclusion of chalets, and 1 commenter doesn't think the old fire/storage building is historic, which is coming down anyway. Requested a resolution of support for the plan.	Agree and will edit.
123 <b>Kimberly Varner Wetzel, former HPC commissioner</b>	Page iv - Priority Recommendations - 1st column, 4th paragraph - "The following "Early Action" priorities are proposed for funding in the next three year(s)." 4th bullet - Government Hill Wireless Station - Suggest: "Fund and complete conceptual design and preliminary feasibility the Government Hill Wireless Station project to provide studies for restoration of the Government Hill Wireless Station building and possible new housing units."	Agree and will edit.
124 <b>Kimberly Varner Wetzel</b>	Additional Priority Recommendation - Hold work session with MOA property managers, and review practices, knowledge of historic properties, and decision-making process.	Agree this needs to be done as an action item and ongoing management of the buildings, and places.

**COMMENTS****COMMENTS****RESPONSE**

125 <b>Darrell Lewis, HPC commissioner</b>	Please add something about the importance of mobile home parks. Darrell forwarded a link to a National Trust for Preservation story dated April 10, 2018, "Are Mobile Homes a Forgotten Historic Resource."	Staff and HPC will determine best place in plan to include language about importance of mobile home parks not only to history, but housing affordable in Anchorage.
126 <b>Frank Pugh, President, Rabbit Creek Community Council, property owner</b>	Mr. Pugh provided a letter transmitted to HPC on May 24, 2018 regarding trails depicted on draft community council area maps. Several property owners (62), are participating in the request to ask that all trails be removed from the final maps. Discussion did ensue at the HPC meeting about court cases currently in progress on the trail access issue mentioned in the letter. Property owners believe the trails depicted are unauthorized.	Staff and HPC will determine with GIS and trail plans which trails will be shown on the final maps for the plan and community histories and character summaries.
127 <b>HPC Subcommittee</b>	Pages 88-13: Keep implementation simple and doable - Pages 88-103.	Define simple and doable.
128 <b>HPC Subcommittee</b>	Pages 88-104: Who is responsible for implementation?	Needs to be added to Action Table with Public Hearing Draft.
129 <b>HPC Subcommittee</b>	Pages 66-71, 78-82: Ordinances discussions are not included in the plan. Put them in the a chapter on preservation tools where we discuss each of the ordinances. This is a variation of Al's white paper. In Chapter as a section for each ordinance 1) Landmarks, 2) Permit Checklist, and 3) Demolition Delay - Pages	Introduction-page vi mentions ordinances to be adopted. Page 6 - next mention of ordinances, Page 8 provides brief description of the proposed ordinances that will come after plan adoption. Page 15-Items #4 and #5 - mentions ordinances. Page 70-mentions how ordinance would assist Landmark Districts, Page 73 - Goal #7 - Processes and Ordinances introduced. Page 78-Defines processes and ordinances to be adopted. Page 79 - Anchorage Local Landmark Register Ordinance defined. Page 79 - Landmark Property Demolition Permit Review defined. Page 79 - Context Sensitive Design Ordinance defined. (Ordinance used 9 times on this page). Page 81 - Historic Preservation Ordinance defined. Historic Overlay Zoning District Ordinance defined. Historic Preservation Review Checklist defined. (Ordinance used 23 times between pages 80 and 81). (Ordinance used 3 times in Goal #7 Action Items.)

COMMENTS	COMMENTS	RESPONSE
130 HPC Subcommittee	Include in Muni-Owned Properties Section: Commision/Historic Preservation Archive - Make an explicit statement about where the records are kept.	The Planning Department maintains an archive of all available files from 1980s through present day. Archive is routinely accessed by Planning and Real Estate departments.
131 HPC Subcommittee	Include in Muni-Owned Properties Section: Bonds passed for projects must include assessment, and historic review of properties affected.	Suggest review of Goal #11 - Establish the Municipal-Owned Landmarks Management Program on Page 86 - review goal, policies, and Action Items on pages 101-102. Some additional language could be added to explain this goal.
132 HPC Subcommittee	Include in Muni-Owned Properties Section: Municipality should set the model for management and preservation.	Suggest review of Goal #11 - Establish the Municipal-Owned Landmarks Management Program on Page 86 - review goal, policies, and Action Items on pages 101-102. Some additional language could be added to explain this goal.
133 HPC Subcommittee	Include in Muni-Owned Properties Section: We need to create and outline of what the Muni should do.	Suggest review of Goal #11 - Establish the Municipal-Owned Landmarks Management Program on Page 86 - review goal, policies, and Action Items on pages 101-102. Some additional language could be added to explain this goal.
134 HPC Subcommittee	Include in Muni-Owned Properties Section: Develop processes for Muni-management of properties.	Suggest review of Goal #11 - Establish the Municipal-Owned Landmarks Management Program on Page 86 - review goal, policies, and Action Items on pages 101-102. Some additional language could be added to explain this goal.
135 HPC Subcommittee	Include in Muni-Owned Properties Section: Establish a clear, unambiguous policy - the Muni will.....	Suggest review of Goal #11 - Establish the Municipal-Owned Landmarks Management Program on Page 86 - review goal, policies, and Action Items on pages 101-102. Some additional language could be added to explain this goal.

COMMENTS	COMMENTS	RESPONSE
136 HPC Subcommittee	Include in Muni-Owned Properties Section: "Fix What You Got."	Suggest review of Goal #11 - Establish the Municipal-Owned Landmarks Management Program on Page 86 - review goal, policies, and Action Items on pages 101-102. Some additional language could be added to explain this goal.
137 HPC Subcommittee	Include in Muni-Owned Properties Section: Designate one entity within the Muni to be responsible for management of Muni-owned historic properties. Identify clearly who is responsible for management.	Suggest review of Goal #11 - Establish the Municipal-Owned Landmarks Management Program on Page 86 - review goal, policies, and Action Items on pages 101-102. Some additional language could be added to explain this goal.
138 HPC Subcommittee	pages iv, vision 5, 85 - Goal 9, 10, 12, include in the Municipal-owned properties chapter: Inventory of Muni-owned properties is a higher priority than inventory of private properties.	Suggest review of Goal #11 - Establish the Municipal-Owned Landmarks Management Program on Page 86 - review goal, policies, and Action Items on pages 101-102. Some additional language could be added to explain this goal.
139 HPC Subcommittee	Page iii, Include in Municipal-owned properties chapter: Not all Muni-owned landmarks can be self-supporting.	Suggest review of Goal #11 - Establish the Municipal-Owned Landmarks Management Program on Page 86 - review goal, policies, and Action Items on pages 101-102. Some additional language could be added to explain this goal.
140 HPC Subcommittee	Include in Muni-Owned Properties Section: Muni-owned historic properties must be used at market value.	Suggest review of Goal #11 - Establish the Municipal-Owned Landmarks Management Program on Page 86 - review goal, policies, and Action Items on pages 101-102. Some additional language could be added to explain this goal.

COMMENTER	COMMENTS	RESPONSE
141 HPC Subcommittee	Include in Muni-Owned Properties Section: Income from Muni-owned historic properties to be dedicated to historic properties, either to maintain historic property or to Fund 740.	Suggest review of Goal #11 - Establish the Municipal-Owned Landmarks Management Program on Page 86 - review goal, policies, and Action Items on pages 101-102. Some additional language could be added to explain this goal.
142 HPC Subcommittee	In Seciton on Muni Review of Projects: Tap mitigation for loss of historic property to fund preservation projects.	Suggest review of Goal #11 - Establish the Municipal-Owned Landmarks Management Program on Page 86 - review goal, policies, and Action Items on pages 101-102. Some additional language could be added to explain this goal.
143 HPC Subcommittee	Include in Muni-Owned Properties Section: How do other Muni entities get incorporated? i.e., school district, AWWU, ML&P.	Suggest review of Goal #11 - Establish the Municipal-Owned Landmarks Management Program on Page 86 - review goal, policies, and Action Items on pages 101-102. Some additional language could be added to explain this goal.
144 HPC Subcommittee	Page iv: Focus one priority on South Addition.	Not consistent with earlier comment to focus on Muni-owned properies as priority.
145 HPC Subcommittee	Include in Muni-Owned Properties Section: Commission needs to be involved in selection of Muni-HPO. Make recommendations?	Not defined in AMC 4.60.030 as one of the commission's duties.
146 HPC Subcommittee	Pages 80-81, Goal 7, 96-98: Project review should be modeled after what Planning does for P&Z and UDC. We need to know the processes in place to mesh our proposed processess. Facilities Management, Heritage Land Bank, Parks.	See response in item #129 regarding ordinances. See Title 21.02: Boards, Commissions, and Municipal Administration for ideas about language that could be included. HPC needs to define what they want included.
147 HPC Subcommittee	Page 73, Vision 5, Goal 11, should be Goal 12 as detailed on Page 86: Inconsistency in numbering.	Agree and wil edit.
148 Richard Martin, Knik Tribal Council	The families that make up Knik Tribe were also part of the history of Anchorage and must have a voice.	Knik Tribal Council is always contacted for Government-to-Government consultations regarding all historic preservation projects.
149 Carol Wong	Various editorial and text edits, recommendations for moving paragraphs, suggestions to combine some goals and action items that may be overlapping. Recommendations on formatting Action Table to include headers on continuing pages. Need to add Parties that will implement the action items and a timeline.	Agree and will edit.

**COMMENTER**

**COMMENTS**

**RESPONSE**

150 **Kristine Bunnell**  
**Carol Wong**  
**Kristine Bunnell**

Maps and other visuals will be updated and printed with crisper lines and better delineation.  
Page - 17: Acronyms, Abbreviations and Glossary section - This seems to be more of an "end of the document" type item. It drags down the beginning of the reader experience when located up-front like this.  
Page 24: Several definitions of "Village" are needed, to include Eklutna Village, Bird, Ranbow, Indian and Portage areas, former occupation sites, etc.